Section 3.5: The Supreme Court and Modern Federalism

	Name_		
	Date	Class	
Refore you read			

Before you read

Before reading this section, take a moment to read the Learning Target.

**Learning Target:** Discuss the current status of American federalism and how it might continue to evolve.

### While you read

Use the following table to take notes as you read the section.

National and state powers	Interpretation over time	Impact on policy making
•		
<u> </u>		

#### Section 3.5: The Supreme Court and Modern Federalism

#### After you read

#### Once you've finished reading the section, answer the following questions.

- 1. Which amendment has recently become an important tool in asserting state authority?
  - A. the Tenth Amendment
  - B. the Twelfth Amendment
  - C. the Thirteenth Amendment
  - D. the Fourteenth Amendment
- 2. Which statement BEST summarizes the case of United States v. Lopez?
  - A. Congress had violated the commerce clause when creating the Gun-Free School Zones Act.
  - B. Congress had violated the Second Amendment when creating the Gun-Free School Zones Act.
  - C. Congress had violated the full faith and credit clause when creating the Gun-Free School Zones Act.
  - D. The Gun-Free School Zones Act was in conflict with Texas state law.
- 3. Once the Supreme Court agreed to hear the case, what was the responsibility of the United States?
  - A. To prove that the Gun-Free School Zones Act did not violate any fundamental human rights.
  - B. To prove that they were operating within their enumerated powers under the Constitution.
  - C. To prove that schools fall under the authority of Congress and the necessary and proper clause.
  - D. To prove that the Gun-Free School Zones Act regulated an activity that was related to interstate commerce.
- 4. What is the overall significance of United States v. Lopez?
  - A. It helps define the limits of the commerce clause.
  - B. It reversed the trend of expanding national power.
  - C. It allowed for the carrying of firearms in schools for protection.
  - D. It gave back powers to the local law enforcement.
- 5. What was the argument Edith Windsor used when she sued the federal government?
  - A. She claimed that since her state recognized her marriage, the federal government should, too.
  - B. She argued that her marriage was legitimate and should be recognized under the Tenth Amendment.
  - C. She stated that her marriage should be recognized at the federal level based on due process laws.
  - D. She claimed that she should not be responsible for estate taxes unless the federal government recognized her marriage as legitimate.
- 6. Which president was responsible for passing the Defense of Marriage Act?
  - A. Ronald Reagan
  - B. Richard Nixon
  - C. Bill Clinton
  - D. Barack Obama

#### Section 3.5: The Supreme Court and Modern Federalism

- 7. Which group assisted Edith Windsor in filing suit against the federal government?
  - A. the ACLU
  - B. the LGBT Community Center in New York
  - C. the New York state government
  - D. the Canadian government
- 8. Which is an example of a result of the victory in United States v. Windsor?
  - A. A same-sex couple whose marriage will now be recognized by both their state of residence and the federal government.
  - B. A same-sex couple whose marriage will be recognized and honored by all states.
  - C. A same-sex couple whose marriage will be recognized by all states, but not at the federal level.
  - D. A same-sex couple whose marriage will be treated the same as an opposite-sex marriage.
- 9. Which statement BEST describes Justice Kennedy's opinion in Obergefell v. Hodges?
  - A. The Constitution allows for the right of marriage, including same-sex marriage.
  - B. The right to marry is a fundamental right.
  - C. The Defense of Marriage Act is unconstitutional as a whole.
  - D. States must recognize and honor all types of marriages.
- 10. In the case of Angel Raich and Diane Monson, the question was:
  - A. whether or not marijuana was legal.
  - B. whether or not marijuana could be used for medical purposes.
  - C. whether or not state law superseded federal law.
  - D. whether or not the national government had the power to ban marijuana.
- 11. Why did the Supreme Court rule against Raich and Monson even though their marijuana usage was for personal reasons?
  - A. Marijuana was illegal, and it did not matter what the purpose for using it was.
  - B. Marijuana was considered a commodity that would have to be regulated by the federal government.
  - C. There is a market for marijuana that substantially impacts interstate commerce.
  - D. There is no proven benefit of using marijuana for medical purposes.
- 12. Under the Obama Administration, how did the Justice Department handle enforcing the Controlled Substances Act?
  - A. Obama directed them to allow the use of marijuana under all circumstances.
  - B. Their authority was over harder substances like heroin and LSD instead.
  - C. The Justice Department was to focus on drug trafficking.
  - D. Their authority was over those who were not in compliance with a state law.

### Section 3.5: The Supreme Court and Modern Federalism

- 13. Which key figure has lessened the possibility for relaxed laws on marijuana?
  - A. President Obama
  - B. President Trump
  - C. Attorney General Gonzales
  - D. Attorney General Sessions
- 14. Which state has legalized the use of marijuana for recreational purposes?
  - A. Oregon
  - B. South Dakota
  - C. Iowa
  - D. Oklahoma